24/08/2025

By Fateh Sami, Independent Researcher and Academic

Comprehensive Analysis of Afghanistan's Political History and National Crises

Introduction

Afghanistan, over more than a century, has been repeatedly ensnared in cycles of political, social, and economic crises. These crises have consistently stemmed from a combination of inefficient governance, external interventions, ethicised policies, and lack of national consensus (Barfield, 2010; Saikal, 2012). Today, the lessons from history underscore the urgent need for a governance system grounded in justice, inclusivity, and respect for the rule of law. Historical experience demonstrates that drafting constitutions or implementing reforms without public support and effective enforcement cannot prevent recurring crises (Ghobar, 1999).

The central question that emerges is: how can Afghanistan utilize historical lessons and genuine public participation to escape current deadlocks and build a sustainable political framework? Civil society, national elites, and advocates for justice and equality have a pivotal role in guiding the nation toward inclusive governance. Efforts to raise public awareness, resist autocratic regimes, and uphold the rule of law can create the foundation for a justice-based system and mobilize national cohesion (Oral testimonies, 1980–2020).

1. Historical Experience and Roots of the Crisis

A key factor in Afghanistan's enduring crises has been the inefficiency of government structures, compounded by persistent foreign influence. Political transitions conducted without attention to Afghanistan's social, cultural, and ethnic realities often exacerbated divisions and deepened national distrust (Noelle-Karimi, 2014).

The Era of Amanullah Khan and Rapid Reforms

Amanullah Khan is frequently celebrated as a symbol of modernization and reform. However, a closer examination reveals that his reforms were rapid, idealistic, and heavily influenced by Turkish and European models (Gregorian, 1969). Without laying sufficient social, cultural, and economic foundations, Amanullah implemented sweeping changes that triggered widespread resistance from religious and traditional groups, leading to revolts across the country (Ghobar, 1999).

Moreover, Amanullah Khan failed to manage ethnic and tribal conflicts effectively. The Naqalin project, relocating some southern tribes to northern regions, intensified ethnic disputes and sowed seeds of distrust lasting for decades (Barfield, 2010). Such tensions directly contributed to later conflicts in northern provinces (Oral testimonies, 1980–2020).

Controversial aspects of Amanullah's reign include accusations of parricide and cruelty toward family members (Gregorian, 1969; Ghobar, 1999). During his flight from power, he transported large sums of money, gold, and jewellery to Italy, purchasing a palace known as "Shah-Havaher" while his people remained in poverty and devastation (Oral testimonies, 1980–2020).

These historical realities highlight that uncritical hero-worship distorts understanding and obscures the reasons why reform projects failed in Afghanistan.

The Naderi Dynasty and Ahl-e-Yahya Regimes

Following Amanullah Khan's fall, political power was concentrated within the Naderi family and the sons of Ahl-e-Yahya. *This period saw intensified*

ethnic discrimination and structural injustice, with the family gradually taking control of estates, gardens, and state resources, often exploiting these assets through provincial networks (Gregorian, 1969; Ghobar, 1999; Oral testimonies, 1980–2020).

Assisted by British influence from "Dehra -Doone" India, Nader Khan initially resided in rented housing in Deh Afghan, but eventually expanded his family's authority across the country. Such monopolization entrenched social divisions and deepened interethnic distrust, setting the stage for recurring instability.

The Democratic Party of Afghanistan, Civil Wars, and the Emergence of the Taliban

After the fall of the last dynastic regime, the Republic of Muhammad Daud Khan, the People's Democratic Party of Afghanistan (PDPA) attempted to create an inclusive political system. However, under the guise of defending workers and the poor, the PDPA pursued intrusive policies, impractical decrees, and authoritarian approaches that alienated much of the population (Saikal, 2012).

Factional rivalries, ideological disputes, and reliance on the Soviet Union undermined the PDPA's legitimacy and opened the door for foreign interference and regional retaliation. Subsequently, Mujahideen groups, supported by Pakistan, the U.S., and certain Arab states, gained military control but failed to manage the country effectively. Civil wars among Mujahideen factions caused massive infrastructure destruction, deepened ethnic tensions, entrenched corruption, and weakened national institutions, laying the groundwork for the Taliban's rise (Barfield, 2010; Oral testimonies, 1980–2020).

Role of Foreign Powers

Foreign interventions have been a persistent driver of instability:

• **Pakistan: Supported and equipped extremist groups to weaken central governance (Barfield, 2010).**

• **Britain: Through border policies and political pressure, limited Afghan sovereignty (Gregorian, 1969).**

• USA: Initially backed jihadist groups during the Cold War, and later facilitated Taliban resurgence via the Doha Agreement (Saikal, 2012).

• Saudi Arabia and other Arab states: Funded extremist organizations and exported Wahhabi ideology (Oral testimonies, 1980–2020).

2. Current Challenges and the Need for a National Solution

With the Taliban currently controlling large portions of Afghanistan, the country faces complex political, social, and security challenges. Afghan society is not yet prepared for deep structural change, and individual or fragmented initiatives without broad public mobilization are unlikely to succeed (Barfield, 2010).

The Taliban's rule relies heavily on external support while exploiting internal divisions along ethnic, tribal, and political lines. These dynamic underscores the urgent need for establishing a participatory, justice-based system that ensures all citizens, regardless of ethnicity or religion, enjoy equal rights under the law.

Pathways for National Solutions

Effective solutions require mobilization of social forces, national consensus, and active engagement of civil society and national elites (Oral testimonies, 1980–2020).

Key measures include:

1. Nationwide mobilization and organized efforts to end Taliban dominance, ensuring a legitimate transition to participatory governance.

2. Creation of a lawful political system with real and inclusive participation of all ethnic, regional, and religious communities.

3. Drafting a functional constitution supported by executive authority and broad social legitimacy, recognizing historical lessons from past regimes to prevent repetition of errors.

4. Strengthening governmental and defence structures to guarantee national security, enforce justice, and protect citizens from both internal and external threats (Saikal, 2012; Barfield, 2010).

Failure to establish inclusive governance structures allows marginalization of ethnic minorities, including Hazaras, Tajiks, and Uzbeks, which can fuel radicalization, insurgency recruitment, and perpetuate cycles of violence and instability.

Moreover, Afghanistan's security challenges are intertwined with regional geopolitics. Cross-border sanctuaries, logistical support to armed factions, and external influence continue to shape the internal dynamics of conflict and governance. Addressing these interconnected issues is critical not only for national stability but also for regional and international security.

Summary

Afghanistan's current crises are the result of cumulative historical mistakes, ineffective domestic policies, and ongoing foreign interventions. Any meaningful path forward requires realistic historical reassessment, inclusive national mobilization, and strategic planning to establish justice-based governance. Only through a broad, fair, and participatory coalition can Afghanistan move toward reconstruction and sustainable development (Ghobar, 1999; Barfield, 2010).

4. Integrated Recommendations and Concluding Analysis

Integrated Recommendations

Drawing upon historical experience, lessons from previous regimes, and current realities, Afghanistan requires a multi-dimensional strategy that combines political reform, social mobilization, security enhancement, and national reconciliation.

Specifically, the following recommendations are essential:

1. Establish a broad-based national coalition that represents all ethnic groups, tribal communities, and civil society actors. This coalition must be empowered to guide political transition and implement reforms without domination by a single faction.

2. Develop a constitution that reflects inclusive principles, the rule of law, and checks on executive power. Historical lessons from Amanullah Khan, Naderi

dynasties, PDPA, Mujahideen, and post-2001 republican governments must inform the drafting process to prevent repeating structural errors.

3. Strengthen institutional frameworks for governance, security, and justice. This includes professionalizing civil service, establishing accountable defence and police forces, and ensuring independence of judicial institutions.

4. Promote social awareness and civic education campaigns to foster national cohesion, counter extremist narratives, and empower women, youth, and marginalized communities.

5. Implement measures to monitor and mitigate foreign influence, ensuring that Afghan sovereignty is maintained and that external actors do not exacerbate internal conflicts.

6. Prioritize reconciliation programs that address ethnic and tribal grievances, support local peacebuilding initiatives, and build trust between communities historically affected by conflict (Barfield, 2010; Saikal, 2012; Oral testimonies, 1980–2020).

Concluding Analysis

Afghanistan's crises, spanning over a century, have deep roots in political mismanagement, ethnic fragmentation, foreign intervention, and the failure to build inclusive institutions. Past experiences demonstrate that constitutions and reforms alone, without social legitimacy and internal consensus, cannot resolve systemic issues (Ghobar, 1999; Gregorian, 1969).

The path toward sustainable development and national stability requires a holistic approach that integrates historical understanding, participatory governance, and robust institutional frameworks. Only through a national coalition that ensures justice, equity, and inclusivity can Afghanistan break the cycle of recurring crises and secure a future of stability and prosperity.

Ultimately, the reconstruction of Afghanistan is not merely a political task but a national project that demands sustained commitment from citizens, national leaders, civil society, and the international community, with a focus on long-term development, peace, and social cohesion.

References

1. Barfield, T. (2010). *Afghanistan: A cultural and political history*. Princeton University Press.

2. Saikal, A. (2012). *Modern Afghanistan: A history of struggle and survival*. I.B. Tauris.

3. Gregorian, V. (1969). *The emergence of modern Afghanistan: Politics of reform and modernization*. Stanford University Press.

4. Ghobar, H. (1999). *History of Afghanistan in the 20th century*. Kabul University Press.

5. Noelle-Karimi, C. (2014). *State and society in Afghanistan: The dynamics of political transformation*. Oxford University Press.

6. UNDP (2025). *Afghanistan human development report*. United Nations Development Programme.

7. Afghanistan Analysts Network (2024). *Political dynamics and governance in contemporary Afghanistan*. Kabul: AAN Reports.

8. Oral testimonies, collected 1980–2020, Afghanistan (unpublished archives, compiled by the author).