



Fateh Sami

28/09/2022

Remote Diplomacy but Close Contact for Recognition of Taliban Terror Administration Doomed to Failure

The rhetoric about the case of Frerichs and Bashir Noorzai illustrates the USA's disproportionate and unrealistic reaction to bringing the Taliban to power in collusion with Pakistan. Now the US engages Afghanistan from afar and prisoner swaps, and initiatives for women highlight multidimensional 'remote diplomacy'. This has not been remote diplomacy from the start of the game. USA's two - decades of direct involvement in Afghanistan is nothing but a 'close diplomacy' which monitors the situation through its proxies, the Taliban under the direction of Pakistan. It is evident how the events appeared after two years of so-called peace talks in Doha. How could Pakistan dare without the hint and consent of the US administration play such a devastating role in Afghanistan?

Noorzai was captured by the Afghan National Army Soldiers and American marine forces, but he was released by the Americans as a game fish. Noorzai was kept in the game reserve by the military force for a rainy day. He was released by the American forces for strengthening the Taliban position for a new front in Central Asia in retaliation for the failure in Ukraine war.

If we look at the underlying causes of unleashing war and instability, even relishing the spillage of blood in Afghanistan, it springs to mind the double standard policy of the US Administration in Afghanistan. It is arguable who was responsible to bring back the terror group Taliban on the stage for starting, conducting or extending an unnecessary protracted war. It was claimed that the war in Afghanistan was to bring to justice the terrorists of Al- Qaida its supporters , their providers of sanguinary and haven primarily Pakistan and the Taliban. Exactly as the American new 'conservatives, hollow slogan of spreading,'

freedom and democracy' proved futile as the war was the only means of 'liberating' the people of Iraq. What a joke it was as if breaking a wind.

It is well-known that the Taliban have been provided with a haven and training ground in Pakistan. The Taliban's place of refuge their financial sources their opium cultivation and drug trafficking, and their two years of active engagement under the name of 'peace talk' in Doha are vivid examples to look at the underlying causes of a disproportionate policy of US administration in dealing with the Taliban. The countries involved in installing the Taliban to power, are now trying somehow to pave the ground for recognition of an illegitimate terror administration. The lawless terror groups of the Taliban seem to be used as foot soldiers of America and its allies in the fight and creation of conflict in Central Asia and later on in Iran. In the article published recently in Nikkei Asia entitled Taliban 'not only game in town: the U.S. engages Afghanistan from afar;

The release of Frerichs was called "a big step in the right direction." "There could be recent actions by the U.S. include contributing \$770 million to humanitarian organizations working in Afghanistan and announcing \$30 million in development assistance through USAID. It has also launched the Afghan Fund to make targeted disbursements of \$3.5 billion of Afghan central bank money frozen by the U.S. after the Taliban took over but now jointly managed with the Swiss government." The financial contribution under humanitarian assistance does not reach the people. It just prolongs the survival rate of the Taliban terror machinery.

Speaking at the launch of AWER and criticizing the Taliban's oppression of women -- this week marked exactly a year of the Taliban not allowing girls to attend high school across the country -- U.S. Secretary of State Antony Blinken pointed to the economic disadvantage of the Islamists' policy. Will such hypocritical rhetoric be of any benefit to the population in Afghanistan as a whole and to women and girls in particular?

AWER will gather "leading businesses and philanthropic organizations under one umbrella and foster collaboration between the U.S. government and private institutions," according to Rina Amiri, the U.S. special envoy for Afghan women, girls and human rights, who has piloted the initiative. The aim is to advance Afghan women's entrepreneurship, workforce participation and education, both inside Afghanistan as well as for those in exile." Rina Amiri is a lobbyist of the Taliban and connected to the intelligence services, according to most Afghan political analysts. The people of Afghanistan are well aware of her connections and do not count her as a trustworthy lady.

Backed by Deloitte and supported by tech companies Pod and Meta, the initiative "creates a pathway to generate sustainable, market-driven solutions to Afghan women's economic security and underscores the United States' deep commitment to Afghan women and girls," according to a State Department handout.

What deep commitment do they have to the Afghan women? Does the deep commitment to the Afghan women mean to confine them within the four walls under your substitutions, the Taliban. The women even in their houses do not feel safe. The Taliban savage militia enter houses, search wardrobe, suitcases every corner of the house thoroughly, everything they find, grab open and scatter around. During the search operation they commit physical and sexual assault forcefully take men and family members way with them to an unknown places. According to eyewitnesses 1222 men has been disappeared, killed or imprisoned by the intelligence services of the Taliban, mostly previous government national army personnel. Where are the United Nations Human Rights Council whose mission is apparently to promote and protect human rights around the world?

In the article," Lu stressed the importance of the private-sector side of the State Department's partnership, which aims to accelerate private and civil society commitments to mentor 1 million women and girls over the next five years, mostly remotely."

Bear in mind that within five years if the notorious Taliban are supported indirectly or remotely the women in Afghanistan either will be annihilated or mentally defective.

"It's great news but a tall order -- perhaps too tall to be more than aspirational," added Kugelman, who just returned from the region.

The head of the Taliban's Doha office and chief international spokesperson, Suhail Shaheen, shrugged off the American efforts. "If the U.S. is interested in improving Afghan women's conditions, it should come forward and help build mother and child care centres and maternity hospitals in rural areas in Afghanistan, where the poorest and deserving women are living," he said. "All the initiative is likely meant to signal that the administration is committed to helping Afghans in ways that go beyond humanitarian aid but do not run afoul of

the U.S. sanctions regime against the Taliban, assessed Michael Kugelman, the senior associate for South Asia at the Wilson Centre in Washington.”

One of the reasons is that the advisors, holding assumptions, are selected who tell the decision maker what they want to hear. This unfounded advice simply tempers the administration to support the Taliban, no matter even if the whole population of Afghanistan are eliminated under their terror administration. So, this sort of advice is standing at the side of terrorists to support them. Then it goes on and says, “the Taliban won't support it and it's unclear how such an ambitious initiative will be operationalized, especially with no U.S. presence on the ground.” Such an expression demonstrates that the USA is after granting some sort of recognition to the Taliban administration to provide the ground for their presence again.

“Assistance should be coordinated with the government so it is not viewed as a tool of interference,” Shaheen added. This is another attempt of a lobbyist and pro-Taliban agent to persuade the U.S. to coordinate all assistance with the government. Which government? The Taliban grabbed the power in a secret deal after the Doha peace talk. “While we engage the Taliban where we must, we have to show them and the world that they're not the only game in town for us,” said Amiri.

So, it simply means that the anti - Taliban which makes up almost 90 percent of population do not know that they are guided by the ISI of Pakistan and as foot soldiers of America against the Asian rivals. It is as clear as daylight.

"We are looking for opportunities to engage the Taliban and civil society," he said. "The Taliban have themselves said it in the last few days: They're looking to turn a page with the United States in the West." If that is their opinion, how are they boasting of defeating the American occupation forces; while the people of Afghanistan and the neighbouring nations know well that they were put in power in a secret deal with all advanced military equipment at their disposal to suppress the people of Afghanistan in general and the non-Pashtun ethnic group in particular.